



mce 84/2005

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR
IN THE MATTER OF:

SECOND APPEAL NO.159 OF 2002

DECIDED ON 28.3.2005

APPELLANTS:

1. Ghanshyam Makhija,
son of Parmanand
Makhija, aged about 39
years.
2. Anil Kumar Makhija,
son of Parmanand
Makhija, aged about 35
years.
3. Nemichand Makhija,
son of Parmanand
Makhija, aged about 28
years.
4. Smt. Rukmani Devi,
widow of Late
Parmanand Makhija,
aged about 60 years.
5. Smt. Rita Panchwani,
d/o of Parmanand
Makhija, aged about 30
years.
6. Smt. Chandra Devi,
daughter of Parmanand
Makhija, aged about 42
years.

All residents of Idhgha
Bhata, Lakhe Nagar,
Raipur.

VS

RESPONDENTS:

1. Smt. Sushila Jadhav,
widow of Late Manohar Rao
Jadhav, aged about 65
years.
2. Ashok Kumar Jadhav, son

*Received
28-3-05*

(4)

of Late Manohar Rao Jadhav, aged about 41 years.

3. Kumari Asha Jadhav, d/o Late Manohar Rao Jadhav, aged about 44 years, r/o Kelkarpara, Station Road, Raipur.
4. Smt. Bela Shinde, wife of Shri Arvind Shinde, resident of Khera Pali Colony, Gwalior (M.P.)
5. Smt. Subha Jadhav, wife of Shri Dilip Jadhav, Khelkar Para, Raipur.
6. Kishore Kumar Jadhav, son of Late Manohar Rao Jadhav, aged about 39 years.
7. Smt. Pushpa Jadhav, widow of Late Arun Kumar, aged about 36 years.
8. Manoj Jadhav, son of Late Arun Kumar, aged about 2 years, Minor through next friend and mother Smt. Pushpa Jadhav.
9. Smt. Shubha Jadhav, widow of Late Dilip Yadav.
10. Sabu Jadhav, son of Late Dilip Jadhav, aged about 4 years, Minor through next friend and mother Smt. Shubha Jadhav.

**APPLICATION UNDER SECTION 152 OF THE CODE OF
CIVIL PROCEDURE, 1908**



आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक

M.C.C. No. 94/05
सन् 200

13

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
Jyo	28.4.2005: Shri P.R. Patankar learned counsel for the applicants. Shri B.P. Sharma and Shri HS Patel for the respondents. Heard. Perused the record of Second Appeal No. 159/2002. This MCC has been filed by the respondents under section 152 of the CPC for correction of the typographical error in paragraph 14 of the judgment passed by this Court on 28.3.2005 which has been pointed out by the counsel for the respondents. This Court on 28.3.2005 dismissed the second appeal No. 159/2002 and the measurement of suit property has been wrongly typed as 800 sq.ft in place of 8000 sq.ft. as is clear from the certified copy of the impugned judgment. Copy of this application has also been supplied to the counsel for the applicants who have no objection in allowing the same. In the circumstances, the MCC is allowed and the measurement of suit property as mentioned in paragraph 14 of the judgment dated 28.3.2005 is corrected to be read as 8000 sq. ft. in place of 800 sq. ft. Let a copy of this order be placed in the original record of SA No. 159/2002.	Sd/- Dhirendra Mishra Judge

(पीछे देखिये)